

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 1817 7578W-000298 Ronald W. Meyer 10/615,734 07/09/2003 EXAMINE 28997 04/23/2004 HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C TANNER, HARRY B 7700 BONHOMME, STE 400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ST. LOUIS, MO 63105 3744

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\overline{v}
Office Action Summary	10/615,734	MEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry B. Tanner	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/2003. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vyers in view of Kemp and Suetake. Vyers discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Vyers discloses a valve 58 for controlling the flow of gas using a flow sensor 26 and a controller that operates a valve actuator 58 in response to a flow setpoint 50 and the detected gas flow. Kemp teaches the use of stepper motor driving a linear actuator in order to provide accurate gas flow and Suetake teaches the use of a pair of thermistors in which one thermistor is self heated and the other is not in order to provide accurate measurement of fluid flow. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Vyers such that it included the use of a stepper motor driving the valve actuator and the use of a pair of thermistors for measuring gas flow in view of the teachings of Kemp and Suetake respectively. The location of the two thermistors on either side of the valve is considered to have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice since the operation of the flow sensor is not changed as long as both thermistors are in the fluid flow path.

Harry Tanner April 21, 2004 703-308-2622